Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation Environmental Assessment

Operator: <u>Slawson Exploration Company, Inc.</u> Well Name/Number: Barbarian 1-14H **Location:** NE NE Section 14 T23N R53E County: Richland, MT; Field (or Wildcat) Wildcat **Air Quality** (possible concerns) Long drilling time: No, 25-35 days drilling time. Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig): Triple derrick drilling rig to drill a single lateral horizontal Bakken Formation well, 14,242'MD/9,502'TVD. Possible H2S gas production: Slight chance H2S gas from Mississippian Formations. In/near Class I air quality area: No Class I air quality area in the area of review. Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive): Yes, DEQ air quality permit required under 75-2-<u>211.</u> Mitigation: X Air quality permit (AQB review) __ Gas plants/pipelines available for sour gas __ Special equipment/procedures requirements __ Other: Comments: If there are existing pipelines for natural gas in the area then gas must be tied into system or if no gathering system nearby associated gas can be flared under Board Rule 36.22.1220. A single lateral14,242'MD/9,502'TVD,Bakken Formation horizontal well. **Water Quality** (possible concerns) Salt/oil based mud: Yes intermediate string casing hole will be drilled with oil based invert drilling fluids. Oil based invert drilling fluids for horizontal leg. Surface casing hole will be drilled with freshwater and freshwater mud system. High water table: No high water table expected, in the area of review. Surface drainage leads to live water: Yes, closest drainage is an unnamed ephemeral tributary drainage to East Redwater Creek, about 1/8 of a mile to the southeast from this location. Within this ephemeral drainage is a stock pond, about 3/8 of a mile to the southwest from this location. Water well contamination: No, closest nearby wells are about 3/8 of a mile to the southwest, about 5/8 of a mile to the southeast, about 5/8 of a mile to the southwest and 7/8 of a mile to the west from this location. Depth of these wells are 325' and less in depth. Surface hole will be drilled with freshwater and surface casing will be cemented to surface from 1550'. Porous/permeable soils: No, silty sand clay soils. Class I stream drainage: No, Class I stream drainages. Mitigation: X Lined reserve pit X Adequate surface casing __ Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage X Closed mud system X_ Off-site disposal of solids/**liquids** (in approved facility)

Comments: 1550' surface casing to be set to protect freshwater zones and to cover the Fox Hills aquifer. Adequate surface casing and operational BOP equipment will prevent problems.

Soils/Vegetation/Land Use

(possible concerns)
Steam crossings: None anticipated.
High erosion potential: No high erosion potential, location will require small cut, up to 6.7' and small fill,
up to 8.7', required.
Loss of soil productivity: _None, location to be restored after drilling well, if nonproductive. If productive
unused portion of drillsite will be reclaimed
Unusually large wellsite: No, a large well site 460'X395'
Damage to improvements: Slight, surface use is cultivated field.
Conflict with existing land use/values: <u>Slight</u>
Mitigation
Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance)
Exception location requested
X Stockpile topsoil
Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review)
\underline{X} Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive
Special construction methods to enhance reclamation
Other
Comments: Access will be over existing county roads, #317 and #128. An access road will be built
into location off the existing county road, 128, about 250' new road will be built into this location. Closed
Loop Mud System will be used. Cuttings will be buried in the lined cuttings pit. Oil based invert drilling
fluids will be recycled. Completion fluids will be hauled to a Class II disposal. Pit will be allowed to dry
before being backfilled. No concerns.
TT 1/1 TT 1 /AT 1
Health Hazards/Noise
(maggible compared)
(possible concerns) Proximity to public facilities/residences: Closest residences are about 3/8 of a mile to the west and ½ of a
mile to the west southwest from this location.
Possibility of H2S: Slight chance H2S, from Mississippian Formations.
Size of rig/length of drilling time: Triple drilling rig 25 to 35 days drilling time.
Mitigation:
X Proper BOP equipment
Topographic sound barriers
H2S contingency and/or evacuation plan
Special equipment/procedures requirements
Other:
Comments: Adequate surface casing cemented to surface with working BOP stack should
mitigate any problems. Distance sufficient to mitigate noise problems associated with the drilling
of this well.
Wildlife/recreation
(possible concerns)
Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None identified.
Proximity to recreation sites: None identified.
Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: No
Conflict with game range/refuge management: No
Threatened or endangered Species Threatened or endangered species listed in Richland County by USFW
service are Pallid Sturgeon, Piping Plover, Interior Lease Tern and Whooping Crane. Candidate species
are the Greater Sage Grouse and the Sprague's Pipit. NH tracker website lists the following as "Species of
Concern": None listed.
Mitigation:
Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception)
Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DSL)

Screening/fencing of pits, drillsite
Other:
Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There maybe species of concern that maybe
impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to what he would like
done, if a species of concern are discovered at this location. The Board of Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction
over private surface lands.
Historical/Cultural/Paleontological
(possible concerns)
Proximity to known sites: None identified.
Mitigation
avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)
X other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies)
Other:
Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There maybe possible
historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to
consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during
construction of the wellsite. The Board of Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands.
Social/Economic
(possible concerns)
Substantial effect on tax base
Create demand for new governmental services
Population increase or relocation
Comments: No concerns.
Remarks or Special Concerns for this site
Remarks or Special Concerns for this site A single lateral wildcat Bakken horizontal well test, 14,242'MD/9,502'TVD.
A single lateral wildcat Bakken horizontal well test, 14,242'MD/9,502'TVD.
A single lateral wildcat Bakken horizontal well test, 14,242'MD/9,502'TVD. Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects
A single lateral wildcat Bakken horizontal well test, 14,242'MD/9,502'TVD.
A single lateral wildcat Bakken horizontal well test, 14,242'MD/9,502'TVD. Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects
A single lateral wildcat Bakken horizontal well test, 14,242'MD/9,502'TVD. Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects
A single lateral wildcat Bakken horizontal well test, 14,242'MD/9,502'TVD. Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects No long term impacts expected. Some short term impacts will occur.
A single lateral wildcat Bakken horizontal well test, 14,242'MD/9,502'TVD. Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects No long term impacts expected. Some short term impacts will occur. I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/does not) constitute a major
A single lateral wildcat Bakken horizontal well test, 14,242'MD/9,502'TVD. Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects No long term impacts expected. Some short term impacts will occur. I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/does not) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/does not) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.
A single lateral wildcat Bakken horizontal well test, 14,242'MD/9,502'TVD. Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects No long term impacts expected. Some short term impacts will occur. I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/does not) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/does not) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement. Prepared by (BOGC): /s/Steven Sasaki
A single lateral wildcat Bakken horizontal well test, 14,242'MD/9,502'TVD. Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects No long term impacts expected. Some short term impacts will occur. I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/does not) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/does not) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement. Prepared by (BOGC): _/s/Steven Sasaki
A single lateral wildcat Bakken horizontal well test, 14,242'MD/9,502'TVD. Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects No long term impacts expected. Some short term impacts will occur. I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/does not) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/does not) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement. Prepared by (BOGC): _/s/Steven Sasaki (title:) Chief Field Inspector Date: April 4, 2012
A single lateral wildcat Bakken horizontal well test, 14,242'MD/9,502'TVD. Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects No long term impacts expected. Some short term impacts will occur. I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/does not) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/does not) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement. Prepared by (BOGC): _/s/Steven Sasaki
A single lateral wildcat Bakken horizontal well test, 14,242'MD/9,502'TVD. Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects No long term impacts expected. Some short term impacts will occur. I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/does not) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/does not) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement. Prepared by (BOGC): _/s/Steven Sasaki (title:) Chief Field Inspector Date: April 4, 2012
A single lateral wildcat Bakken horizontal well test, 14,242'MD/9,502'TVD. Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects No long term impacts expected. Some short term impacts will occur. I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/does not) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/does not) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement. Prepared by (BOGC):/s/Steven Sasaki
A single lateral wildcat Bakken horizontal well test, 14,242'MD/9,502'TVD. Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects No long term impacts expected. Some short term impacts will occur. I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/does not) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/does not) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement. Prepared by (BOGC): _/s/Steven Sasaki (title:) Chief Field Inspector Date: _April 4, 2012 Other Persons Contacted:
A single lateral wildcat Bakken horizontal well test, 14,242'MD/9,502'TVD. Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects No long term impacts expected. Some short term impacts will occur. I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/does not) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/does not) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement. Prepared by (BOGC):/s/Steven Sasaki

Water wells in Richland County
(date)
April 4, 2012
<u>US Fish and Wildlife, Region 6 website</u>
(Name and Agency)
ENDANGERED, THREATENED, PROPOSED AND CANDIDATE SPECIES MONTANA
COUNTIES, Richland County
(subject discussed)
A: 1 4 2012
April 4, 2012 (data)
(date)
Montana Natural Heritage Program Website (FWP)
(Name and Agency)
Heritage State Rank= S1, S2, S3, T23N R53E
(subject discussed)
April 4, 2012
(date)
If location was inspected before permit approval:
Inspection date:
Inspector:
Others present during inspection: